



Adverse effects of plant food supplements and botanical preparations a systematic review with critical evaluation of causality

Di Lorenzo, Chiara; Ceschi, Alessandro; Kupferschmidt, Hugo; Lüde, Saskia; De Souza Nascimento, Elizabeth; Dos Santos, Ariana; Colombo, Francesca; Frigerio, Gianfranco; Nørby, Karin Kristiane; Plumb, Jenny

Total number of authors:
12

Published in:
British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1111/bcp.12519](https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12519)

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Di Lorenzo, C., Ceschi, A., Kupferschmidt, H., Lüde, S., De Souza Nascimento, E., Dos Santos, A., Colombo, F., Frigerio, G., Nørby, K. K., Plumb, J., Finglas, P., & Restani, P. (2015). Adverse effects of plant food supplements and botanical preparations: a systematic review with critical evaluation of causality. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 79(4), 578-592. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12519>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Adverse effects of plant food supplements and botanical preparations: a systematic review with critical evaluation of causality

Chiara Di Lorenzo,¹ Alessandro Ceschi,^{2,3} Hugo Kupferschmidt,²
Saskia Lüde,² Elizabeth De Souza Nascimento,⁴ Ariana Dos Santos,¹
Francesca Colombo,¹ Gianfranco Frigerio,¹ Karin Nørby,⁵
Jenny Plumb,⁶ Paul Finglas⁶ & Patrizia Restani¹

¹Dipartimento di Scienze Farmacologiche e Biomolecolari, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Balzaretti 9, 20133 Milano, Italy, ²Swiss Toxicological Information Centre (STIC), Associated Institute of the University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ³Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ⁴Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil, ⁵National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Søborg, Denmark and ⁶Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK

Correspondence

Professor Patrizia Restani PhD,
Dipartimento di Scienze Farmacologiche
e Biomolecolari, Università degli Studi di
Milano, via Balzaretti 9, 20133 Milano,
Italy.

Tel.: +39 2 5031 8371

Fax: +39 2 5031 8284

E-mail: patrizia.restani@unimi.it

Keywords

adverse effects, biomarkers, botanicals,
interactions, misidentification, poison
centres

Received

30 July 2014

Accepted

17 September 2014

Accepted Article Published Online

24 September 2014

AIMS

The objective of this review was to collect available data on the following: (i) adverse effects observed in humans from the intake of plant food supplements or botanical preparations; (ii) the misidentification of poisonous plants; and (iii) interactions between plant food supplements/botanicals and conventional drugs or nutrients.

METHODS

PubMed/MEDLINE and Embase were searched from database inception to June 2014, using the terms 'adverse effect/s', 'poisoning/s', 'plant food supplement/s', 'misidentification/s' and 'interaction/s' in combination with the relevant plant name. All papers were critically evaluated according to the World Health Organization Guidelines for causality assessment.

RESULTS

Data were obtained for 66 plants that are common ingredients of plant food supplements; of the 492 papers selected, 402 (81.7%) dealt with adverse effects directly associated with the botanical and 89 (18.1%) concerned interactions with conventional drugs. Only one case was associated with misidentification. Adverse effects were reported for 39 of the 66 botanical substances searched. Of the total references, 86.6% were associated with 14 plants, including *Glycine max*/soybean (19.3%), *Glycyrrhiza glabra*/licorice (12.2%), *Camellia sinensis*/green tea (8.7%) and *Ginkgo biloba*/gingko (8.5%).

CONCLUSIONS

Considering the length of time examined and the number of plants included in the review, it is remarkable that: (i) the adverse effects due to botanical ingredients were relatively infrequent, if assessed for causality; and (ii) the number of severe clinical reactions was very limited, but some fatal cases have been described. Data presented in this review were assessed for quality in order to make the results maximally useful for clinicians in identifying or excluding deleterious effects of botanicals.

Introduction

The use of food supplements is growing in both Europe and the USA [1]. Food supplements can contain vitamins,

minerals, botanicals, amino acids, enzymes and many other ingredients and are marketed in a variety of forms, i.e. tablets, capsules and powders, as well as drops, beverages and energy bars.

Food supplements are products intended to complement the normal diet; as they are foods and not drugs, they must not be claimed to be diagnostic, preventative or therapeutic. The wide diffusion of food supplements containing botanicals (plant food supplements, PFS) has far exceeded the availability of scientific information on their benefits, adverse effects and drug interactions. The information on benefits may be covered partly by the 'tradition of use', but it is more difficult to evaluate possible adverse clinical effects due to plant properties, plant misidentification or interaction with pharmaceutical drugs or nutrients.

The first difficulty in this assessment is related to the discrimination of plant food supplements from traditional herbal products, because the same ingredient/product could be sold in different countries in one or the other category, and the relevant international legislation is not harmonized. Even in the European Union (EU) there are some differences in regulatory approaches [2].

Several papers have considered the adverse effects associated with botanicals, and in some cases reviewed data in a specific clinical area. A 5 year toxicological study published by Shaw *et al.* [3] showed that among 1297 symptomatic enquiries associated with botanicals (both food supplements and traditional remedies) there was a possible or confirmed association in 785 cases. Some cases of hepatotoxicity were reported following the use of Chinese herbal medicine for skin disorders, as well as allergic reactions to royal jelly and propolis and heavy metal poisoning caused by remedies from the Indian subcontinent. The conclusion by Shaw *et al.* [3] was that although the overall risk to public health appeared to be low, certain groups of traditional remedies/food supplements could be associated with a number of potentially serious adverse effects.

Valli and Giardina [4] reviewed the adverse cardiovascular events due to herbal preparations, while Pitter *et al.* [5] considered food supplements aimed at bodyweight reduction and reported adverse events including hepatic injury and death after the use of some herbal food supplements. For herbal *Ephedra* and ephedrine-containing food supplements (now banned in most countries, including the EU and the USA), an increased risk of psychiatric, autonomic or gastrointestinal adverse events and heart palpitations have been reported.

A one-year prospective surveillance study performed by the Poison Center Surveillance Project evaluating dietary supplement-related calls to the centre in 2006 showed that: (i) most supplement-related adverse events were minor; (ii) of 275 calls, two-thirds were rated as probably or possibly related to supplement use; (iii) sympathomimetic toxicity was most common, with caffeine-containing products accounting for 47% and products containing *Yohimbe* spp. accounting for 18% of supplement-related symptomatic cases; and (iv) drug-herb interaction was suspected in some cases [6].

The European Project PlantLIBRA (Plant Food Supplements: Levels of Intake, Benefit and Risk Assessment, Project no. 245199; <http://www.plantlibra.eu>) aims to foster the safe use of food supplements containing plants or botanical preparations by increasing science-based decision-making by regulators, researchers and food chain operators. The aim of this systematic review is to summarize and critically assess for causality the published data on the following: (i) adverse effects related to PFS/botanical ingredients; (ii) the misidentification of poisonous plants; and (iii) the interactions of PFS/botanicals with pharmaceutical drugs or nutrients.

Materials and methods

Botanical ingredients

The plants included in this review were derived from a consensus among partners reached after numerous meetings in the framework of the PlantLIBRA EU project and mainly represent those most commonly used in PFS. The 66 plants included in the search are listed in Table 1.

Literature search

Two of the most important scientific databases of references and abstracts on life sciences and biomedical topics, PubMed/MEDLINE and Embase, were systematically searched to create the present work. The following search strategy and selection criteria were used: data were collected from database inception to June 2014, with the terms 'adverse effect/s', 'poisoning/s', 'plant food supplement/s', 'misidentification/s' and 'interaction/s' in combination with the relevant plant name.

Causality assessment

The assessment of reports on adverse reactions to PFS and/or their botanical ingredients was performed according to the World Health Organization (WHO) Causality Assessment Criteria as described in Table 2 [7].

Online supplementary data

The number of papers collected during the project is very high, so that we cite only 149 papers but we offer the whole list of papers classified according to the WHO Causality Assessment Criteria as Online Supplementary Data.

Results and Discussion

The summary of data collected from the literature and assessed according to the WHO criteria of causality is reported in Table 3. Reports of adverse effects were found for 39 of 66 botanical ingredients searched, representing 59% of all the plants included in the database search. Of the 492 papers collected, 402 (81.7%) described cases due to adverse effects directly associated with the botanical

Table 1

Plants included in the review*

<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	<i>Cynara scolymus</i> L.	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L.	<i>Echinacea pallida</i> (Nutt.) Nutt.	<i>Olea europaea</i> L.
<i>Aloe ferox</i> Mill.	<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench	<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer
<i>Artemisia abrotanum</i> L.	<i>Epimedium brevicornum</i> Maxim/sagittatum	<i>Passiflora incarnata</i> L.
<i>Artemisia dracunculus</i> L.	<i>Eschscholzia californica</i> Cham.	<i>Pelargonium sidoides</i> DC
<i>Borago officinalis</i> L.	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill.	<i>Peumus boldus</i> Molina
<i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb. ex Colebr.	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L.	<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L.
<i>Calendula officinalis</i> L.	<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze	<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L.	<i>Plantago ovata</i> Forssk
<i>Carica papaya</i> L.	<i>Grindelia robusta</i> Nutt.	<i>Pseudowintera colorata</i> (Raoul) Dandy
<i>Carum carvi</i> L.	<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> (Burch) DC	<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i> DC
<i>Cassia angustifolia</i> M. Vahl/Cassia senna L.	<i>Helichrysum italicum</i> (Roth) G. Don	<i>Salvia hispanica</i> L./columbariae Benth.
<i>Cassia obtusifolia</i> L./Cassia tora L.	<i>Heliotropium</i> spp.	<i>Serenoa repens</i> (W. Bartram) Small.
<i>Chrysanthemum balsamita</i> (L.) Baill	<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> L.	<i>Serenoa serrulata</i> (Michx.) Hook f.
<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L.	<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i> L.	<i>Silybum marianum</i> (L.) Gaertn.
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt.	<i>Humulus lupulus</i> L.	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> (L.) Weber
<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J. Presl (Cinnamomum zeylanicum)	<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L.	<i>Thymus serpyllum</i> L.
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L.	<i>Lavandula angustifolia</i> Mill.	<i>Trifolium pratense</i> L.
<i>Citrus limon</i> (L.) Burm.	<i>Lycium barbatum</i> L.	<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i> L.
<i>Citrus sinensis</i> (L.) Osbeck	<i>Matricaria recutita</i> L.	<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L.
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Jacq.	<i>Melissa officinalis</i> L.	<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L.
<i>Cuminum cyminum</i> L.	<i>Myrtus communis</i> L.	<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L.

*The latin name is from the 'The Plant List' website (<http://www.theplantlist.org>).

and 89 (18.1%) to interactions with conventional drugs. Only one case was associated with a misidentification of the ingredient *Passiflora incarnata* [8].

Most events (426, or 86.6%) were associated with 14 botanical ingredients; the number of papers for each of them ranged between 13 and 95.

Adverse effects due to the botanical as such or as an ingredient of PFS

The distribution of adverse effects was different in relationship to the plant considered; Table 4 lists the number of papers regarding specific adverse effects associated with the botanicals searched and the relative causality according to the WHO classification. As the use of a rechallenge is rare or even ethically unacceptable, the classes 'certain' and 'probable/likely' are considered together as 'certain/probable association'.

For the 14 most documented plants, the total number of papers was 343, but during the evaluation the causality was considered uncertain/unclassifiable in 61 of them; 41.4% of all the papers were associated with only two botanicals, namely *Glycine max* (91) and *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (51).

Adverse effects due to interaction with nutrients or conventional drugs

Table 5 illustrates the papers regarding the interaction of PFS/botanicals with food, beverages or conventional

drugs; assessment of causality is also reported. Of the 83 papers, 38.6% were associated with *Citrus aurantium* (18) and *Ginkgo biloba* (14).

Form responsible for adverse effects

Table 6 lists the part of plant used and the commercial form (botanical as such, PFS or food) associated with the adverse effects described. In some cases, the description of the product was limited and was carefully considered in causality assessment.

Case reports and side-effects associated with PFS and botanical ingredients: a review of the top 14

As reported above, even though 39 plants (among those searched) were associated with adverse effects, only those reported as causal in at least 10 papers (total number in Table 3) were considered in this review. As a consequence, details are reported for only 14 plants, listed according to the alphabetical order of the Latin name.

Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze (green tea) Numerous papers (34) have been collected on adverse effects related to *C. sinensis* (L.) Kuntze; 29 of them were considered sufficiently documented for causality assessment. Side-effects were associated with derivatives from green tea leaves and involved mainly acute hepatotoxicity. Patients showed clinical symptoms with different severity,

Table 2

Causality categories according to the World Health Organization [7]

Causality classification	Details
Certain	A clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, occurring in a plausible time relationship to drug administration, and which cannot be explained by concurrent disease or other drugs or chemicals. The response to withdrawal of the drugs (dechallenge) should be clinically plausible. The event must be definitive pharmacologically or phenomenologically, using a satisfactory rechallenge procedure if necessary
Probable/likely	A clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with a reasonable time sequence to administration of the drug, unlikely to be attributed to concurrent disease or other drugs or chemicals, and which follows a clinically reasonable response on withdrawal (dechallenge). Rechallenge information is not required to fulfil this definition
Possible	A clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with a reasonable time sequence to administrations of the drug, but which could also be explained by concurrent disease or other drugs or chemicals. Information on drug withdrawal may be lacking or unclear
Unlikely	A clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with a temporal relationship to drug administration which makes a causal relationship improbable, and in which other drugs, chemicals or underlying disease provide plausible explanations
Conditional/unclassified	A clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, reported as an adverse reaction, about which more data are essential for a proper assessment, or the additional data are under examination
Unassessable/unclassifiable	A report suggesting an adverse reaction which cannot be judged because information is insufficient or contradictory, and which cannot be supplemented or verified

ranging from a mild increase of serum aminotransferase levels to fulminant hepatitis requiring liver transplantation [9–16].

The types of preparation responsible for the adverse effects, with different degrees of relationship, were plant food supplements based on green tea extracts, among which were hydroalcoholic extract [10,12–16], and aqueous extract of green tea, consumed as tea or in capsules [9, 11, 17].

Supplements were used principally for bodyweight control and, in one case, for reducing hair loss. For tea infusion, the daily intake was from two or three cups up to some litres. Generally, the time of onset of the reactions ranged from 5 days to 2 years of daily consumption. Most

cases were classified as ‘certain/probable’ or ‘possible’ when other factors could contribute to the adverse effect, such as age, concomitant pathological conditions or several ingredients present in the preparation. Moreover, given that the substance involved in the adverse effect was not always identified, an adulteration or contamination could not be excluded. For example, in two papers, the hepatotoxicity due to two Chinese herbal supplements containing tea was attributed to the presence of *N*-nitroso-fenfluramine [18, 19].

Adverse effects of *C. sinensis* seem to be modulated by various factors and, in particular, by the chemical composition and the type of herbal preparation. In fact, all preparations differ in their chemical composition, as follows: (i) powdered leaves contain all the tea active components; (ii) infusions and aqueous extracts contain mostly hydrophilic compounds; and (iii) hydroalcoholic extracts contain both hydrophilic and lipophilic components. The components most frequently indicated as responsible for hepatotoxicity are catechins and their gallic esters. In particular, the role of EGCG (epigallocatechin-3-gallate) seems predominant, as shown also in experimental *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays [20]; this conclusion could also be supported by its high concentration in green tea extracts [21]. The association seems further confirmed by the lack of known adverse effects of fermented tea (black tea), in which the content of EGCG is significantly reduced.

Interaction between green tea and conventional drugs was recognized in nine papers; three of them with certain/probable and six with possible causality. Most interactions were with statins, where an increase in plasma concentration and a worsening of the related side-effects, such as rhabdomyolysis, were observed [21, 22]. Green tea was also responsible for interfering with a certain number of drugs, such as warfarin, with inhibition of activity due to the presence of vitamin K in tea [23], or acetaminophen, with exacerbation of the hepatotoxicity [24], and other natural compounds such as lutein [21], usnic acid and guggulsterones [25] or *Cassia angustifolia* extract [26]. In the papers reporting interaction, aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts were the most usual forms involved.

Cimicifuga racemosa (L.) Nutt (*black cohosh*) Papers related to *C. racemosa* described mainly specific adverse effects (19 of 23), and among them 14 were classified as ‘certain/probable’ and five ‘possible’.

The cases described included: (i) hepatotoxicity [27, 28], with cases of autoimmune hepatitis [29]; (ii) myopathy, with severe asthenia and rhabdomyolysis [30]; (iii) reversible complete heart block with bradycardia [31]; and (iv) cutaneous vasculitis [32] and cutaneous pseudolymphoma [33].

Adverse reactions were due to the chronic ingestion of *C. racemosa* extracts, as such or as an ingredient of PFS (Table 6). In the case of hepatotoxicity, the event was

Table 3

Number of scientific papers describing adverse effects of botanicals/plant food supplements, including misidentification and interaction with nutrient or conventional drugs

Plant by scientific name (common name)	Number of references due to adverse effects as such	Number of references due to misidentification	Number of references due to interactions	Total references
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr (soybean)	91	0	4	95
<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L. (licorice)	51	0	9	60
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze (tea)	34	0	9	43
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L. (ginkgo/maidenhair tree)	28	0	14	42
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L. (bitter orange)	7	0	18	25
<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J. Presl (<i>C. zeylanicum</i>) (cinnamon)	23	0	0	23
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt (black cohosh)	19	0	4	23
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)	18	0	2	20
<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L. (vitex/chaste tree)	18	0	1	19
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. (St John's wort)	10	0	9	19
<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer (ginseng)	11	0	5	16
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L. (valerian)	6	0	8	14
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (grape)	14	0	0	14
<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> (Burch.) DC. (Devil's claw)	13	0	0	13
<i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb. ex Colebr. (Indian frankincense)	9	0	0	9
<i>Serenoa repens</i> (W. Bartram) Small. (saw palmetto)	6	0	0	6
<i>Citrus sinensis</i> (L.) Osbeck (sweet orange)	5	0	0	5
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> (L.) Weber (dandelion)	5	0	0	5
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L. (horse chestnut)	2	0	2	4
<i>Cassia angustifolia</i> M. Vahl/ <i>Cassia senna</i> L. (senna)	4	0	0	4
<i>Aloe ferox</i> Mill. (bitter aloe)	3	0	0	3
<i>Melissa officinalis</i> L. (lemon balm)	3	0	0	3
<i>Passiflora incarnata</i> L. (Passion flower)	1	1	1	3
<i>Peumus boldus</i> Molina (boldo)	1	0	2	3
<i>Cassia obtusifolia</i> L./ <i>Cassia tora</i> L. (sickle senna/Java bean)	2	0	0	2
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill. (fennel)	2	0	0	2
<i>Matricaria recutita</i> L. (chamomile)	1	0	1	2
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. (sweet basil)	2	0	0	2
<i>Olea europaea</i> L. (olive)	2	0	0	2
<i>Silybum marianum</i> (L.) Gaertn (milk thistle)	2	0	0	2
<i>Borago officinalis</i> L. (borage)	1	0	0	1
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Jacq. (hawthorn)	1	0	0	1
<i>Cynara scolymus</i> L. (globe artichoke)	1	0	0	1
<i>Echinacea pallida</i> (Nutt.) Nutt. (pale purple coneflower)	1	0	0	1
<i>Pelargonium sidoides</i> DC. (Umckaloab)	1	0	0	1
<i>Pimpinella anisum</i> L. (anise)	1	0	0	1
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L. (ribwort plantain)	1	0	0	1
<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i> DC. (cascara sagrada)	1	0	0	1
<i>Trifolium pratense</i> L. (red clover)	1	0	0	1
Total	402	1	89	492

quickly reversible after discontinuation, except in two cases where liver transplant became necessary [27, 34], and in the case described by Lynch *et al.* [27] where the event was fatal.

The possible interaction with conventional drugs is mainly based on *in vitro* tests, where the inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 activity was observed [35].

Cinnamomum verum J. Presl (*Cinnamomum zeylanicum* cinnamon) Adverse effects collected in the scientific lit-

erature for *C. verum* were mainly classified as events with certain/probable causality (17 of 23, or 73.9%). Adverse effects were mainly localized in the oral cavity and were due to the use of cinnamon-flavoured beverages, candies and chewing-gum. The most important adverse effects were as follows: (i) stomatitis with swelling and burning of lips, tongue and cheeks, with a case of ulceration [36, 37]; (ii) hyperkeratotic plaques covering most of the dorsal and lateral tongue and involving the buccal mucosa [38]; (iii) allergic leukoplakia of oral mucosa [39] and contact allergy [40]; and (iv) squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue [41].

Table 4

Number of papers describing specific adverse effects to the botanicals considered and their ranking by causality*

Plant by scientific name (common name)	Total number of papers describing side-effects	Papers reporting certain/probable association	Papers reporting possible association	Papers showing unlikely/unassessable association
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr. (soybean)	91	58	11	22
<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L. (licorice)	51	38	11	2
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze (tea)	34	15	14	5
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L. (ginkgo/maidenhair tree)	28	19	4	5
<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J. Presl (<i>zeylanicum</i>) (cinnamon)	23	17	2	4
<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L. (vitex/chaste tree)	18	13	1	4
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)	18	8	0	10
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt. (black cohosh)	19	14	5	0
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (grape)	14	14	0	0
<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> (Burch.) DC. (Devil's claw)	13	13	0	0
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. (St John's wort)	10	4	6	0
<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer (ginseng)	11	1	6	4
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L. (bitter orange)	7	5	0	2
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L. (valerian)	6	1	2	3
Total	343	220	62	61

*Owing to the high number of citations, the whole list of papers is organized for plant and causality in the Online Supplementary Data.

Table 5

Number of papers reporting interactions between the botanicals considered and nutrients, food or conventional drugs with ranking by causality*

Plant by scientific name (common name)	Total number of papers describing interactions	Papers reporting certain/probable association	Papers reporting possible association	Papers showing unlikely/unassessable association
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L. (bitter orange)	18	6	11	1
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L. (ginkgo/maidenhair tree)	14	7	3	4
<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L. (licorice)	9	6	2	1
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze (tea)	9	3	6	0
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. (St John's wort)	9	6	3	0
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L. (valerian)	8	0	4	4
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr. (soybean)	4	1	2	1
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt. (black cohosh)	4	0	4	0
<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer (ginseng)	5	1	4	0
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)	2	1	1	0
<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L. (vitex/chaste tree)	1	0	0	1
Total	83	31	40	12

*Owing to the high number of citations, the whole list of papers is organized for plant and causality in the Online Supplementary Data.

Some contact dermatitis was experienced after consumption of cinnamon-flavoured food or solutions [42] or PFS containing *C. verum* oil [43]. One case of intoxication was observed in a child [44]. No case of interaction with nutrients or conventional drug was found.

Citrus aurantium L. (*bitter orange*) Specific adverse effects (seven) and interaction with conventional drugs (18) have been reported. The most usual adverse reactions were in the cardiovascular system, including hypertension, tachycardia and ventricular extrasystoles [45]. Ischaemic colitis [46], allergic bronchospasm [47] and hepatitis with massive necrosis [45] were also reported.

Attempted weight loss was the most common reason for using PFS containing *C. aurantium*. In one case, the subject used a decoction of leaves to treat a common cold [48]. An extract of ripe or unripe fruit (usually unspecified) was the most usual form taken by consumers. The presence of stimulant amines, such as synephrine and octopamine, in *C. aurantium* explains the numerous adverse cardiovascular effects. The chemical structure of synephrine resembles that of the neurotransmitter adrenaline and of the alkaloid ephedrine, so that it acts as a sympathomimetic substance [49].

When *C. aurantium* was used in combination with caffeine, ephedrine, yohimbine and phenylethylamine, but

Table 6

Form used by consumers experiencing adverse effects

Plant by scientific name (common name)	Botanical part used (when specified)	Food and beverages (functional, flavoured etc.)	Plant food supplement (type)	Other
<i>Camellia sinensis</i> (L.) Kuntze (tea)	Leaves	Tea (high quantity)	Capsules containing micronized leaf powder or different extracts	Aqueous, ethanolic or hydroalcoholic extracts
<i>Cimicifuga racemosa</i> (L.) Nutt. (black cohosh)	Rizhoma	–	Capsules containing six plants, including <i>C. racemosa</i>	Standardized unspecified extract
<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J. Presl (<i>zeylanicum</i>) (cinnamon)	Bark	Flavoured candies and foods; sweet vermouth and coffee	Plant foot supplement (containing oil)	Oil, chewing-gum, toothpaste, mouthrinse
<i>Citrus aurantium</i> L. (bitter orange)	Ripe and unripe fruit, fruit rind	–	–	Unspecified extracts, decoction
<i>Echinacea purpurea</i> (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower)	Root or coneflower	Juice	Juice combined with other ingredients	Hydroalcoholic, aqueous or unspecified extracts
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L. (ginkgo/maidenhair tree)	Leaves, seeds	Roasted ginkgo seeds, microwave cooked seeds	Plant foot supplement containing extracts	Extracts, ginkgolide mixtures
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr. (soybean)	Seeds	Soybean protein-based formula, soybean 'milk', Miso (fermented soybean), Tofu, Baloney (sausage)	Supplements containing soybean isoflavones	Lecithins, soybean protein concentrates, soybean granules, soybean flour
<i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> L. (licorice)	Root	Licorice rope and candies, juices, drinks, Pontefract cake	Plant foot supplement tablets, 'herbal tonic'	Chewing-gum, decoction, concentrated juice
<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> (Burch.) DC. (Devil's claw)	Tuber, root tuber, secondary tuber, whole plant	–	Capsules containing extract from whole plant	Aqueous extract, ethanol extract, powder from root or secondary tubers
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L. (St John's wort)	Flowering herb	–	Tablets, unspecified preparations, including an extract enriched in hyperforin	Unspecified extracts
<i>Panax ginseng</i> C.A. Meyer (ginseng)	Root	Candies and teas	Ginseng syrup	Dry root, extracts (from standardized to unspecified), chewing-gum
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i> L. (valerian)	Root	–	Infusions	Raw root material
<i>Vitex agnus castus</i> L. (vitex/chaste tree)	Fruit	–	–	Ethanolic/aqueous extracts
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. (grape)	Fruit and leaves	Fresh and dry fruit, juices	–	Aqueous extract, hydroalcoholic extract; unspecified skin extract

also thyroxine, enhancement of the adverse effects was reported, with stimulant cardiovascular effects, such as tachycardia and hypertension [50], ventricular fibrillation [51], angina [52], acute myocardial infarction [53], ischaemic stroke [54] and exercise-induced syncope [55]. Less frequently described were rhabdomyolysis [45], ischaemic colitis [56] and psychosis [57].

Cases of adverse effects of *C. aurantium* were mainly classified as 'possible' due to the frequent presence of accompanying conditions, such as obesity, hypothyroidism, asthma, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, alcoholism, drug abuse, depression, anxiety, nicotine use and dehydration.

Echinacea purpurea (L.) Moench (Eastern purple coneflower) The review selected a total of 20 papers reporting adverse effects due to *E. purpurea*. They were mainly associated with ethanolic extracts of the root and herb, but adverse reactions to aqueous extracts were also

reported. Causality was often (10 of 20) defined as 'unclassifiable' because of the lack of clear information on the botanical preparation, description of the adverse event, patient's anamnesis or insufficient evidence of exposure. The lack of data could be explained partly by the fact that many adverse effects were found in papers from regulatory bodies, where details on the specific *E. purpurea* preparation were not included. Among others: WHO, Adverse Drug Reactions Advisory Committee (ADRAC), Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte (BfArM), Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Adverse reactions were associated with both allergy and hepatic or gastrointestinal effects. Allergic reactions were mainly due to IgE-mediated hypersensitivity [58] and could be due to the known immunostimulating properties of *E. purpurea*. *Echinacea* derivatives stimulate macrophage and enhance cytokine production, which could be responsible for adverse consequences in humans [59]. Hepatotoxicity

was described as an acute event, with features of cholestatic autoimmune hepatitis [60], and as a case of fatal liver necrosis [61]. Other clinical manifestations probably associated with *E. purpurea* were a case of erythema nodosum [62], diarrhoea, vomiting, headache and drowsiness [63].

The possible interaction of *E. purpurea* with pharmaceutical drugs was considered by some authors. Gorski *et al.* [64] considered that the observed induction of CYP3A4 activity explained the interaction of unspecified root extracts with various medications, such as tolbutamide, midazolam (oral or intravenous administration) and dextromethorphan. Other interactions with albuterol, allopurinol, beclomethasone, dihydrocodeine and roxithromycin were evaluated as unclassifiable because of the lack of clinical details [58].

In contrast, Gurley *et al.* [65] found there to be no significant effect of *E. purpurea* on the activity of cytochromes CYP3A4, CYP1A2, CYP2E1 or CYP2D6. The incongruence with the results of Gorski *et al.* [64] is probably due to the different type of extracts used; Gorski *et al.* [64] used the root extract (containing >1% phenols as cichoric acid, chlorogenic acid and echinacoside), while Gurley *et al.* [65] used the whole plant extract, containing principally cichoric acid.

Ginkgo biloba L. (Ginkgo/maidenhair tree) The review of adverse effects associated with *Ginkgo biloba* produced 42 papers, 28 related to adverse effects of the plant derivative as such and 14 reporting an interaction with conventional drugs. Most of them (33) were classified as events with certain/probable and possible causality. Leaves and seeds are the parts most usually consumed, both as such (roasted or cooked seeds) and as extracts. The type of extract is normally undefined apart from the study by Yagmur *et al.* [66], in which the product is specifically indicated (EGb761). Adverse reactions are usually associated with haemorrhagic complications [67, 68], with one case of a subdural haematoma [69]. The activity is probably due to the antiplatelet activity of ginkgosides, and ginkgolide B seems to be the main terpenoid responsible for such effects [69, 70].

In some papers, other symptoms were identified, as follows: acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis [71]; toxic epidermal necrolysis [72]; ventricular arrhythmia [73]; and convulsions [74]. An increased risk of bleeding complications was observed when *G. biloba* was taken concomitantly with other conventional drugs acting on coagulation, such as acetyl salicylic acid [75, 76], ibuprofen [77] and warfarin [78]. A subtherapeutic level of anticonvulsants (phenytoin and valproic acid), due to an induction of CYP2C19 by ginkgo active compounds, was also observed in a case of fatal breakthrough seizure [79].

Glycine max (L.) Merr. (soybean) The review produced 95 papers reporting adverse effects associated with the consumption of *Glycine max*; among these, only a few (4)

documented an interaction with nutrients or drugs. In particular, a decreased absorption of levothyroxine was attributed to the use of a food supplement containing soybean proteins [80], while ingestion of soy 'milk' and seaweed was associated with serious thyroid dysfunction [81]. Moreover, foods containing soybean and its isoflavones were responsible for bleeding when combined with estradiol [82]. During a clinical trial of the effect of soy isoflavones and melatonin in relieving menopausal symptoms, one patient experienced tachycardia, weight gain, insomnia, drowsiness and headache [82].

The adverse effects due to *G. max* are mainly associated with the well-known allergenic potential of this legume (30/91), which is used as an ingredient in several foods and preparations, such as soy 'milk', paediatric formulas and lecithin [83, 84]. Soybean is included in the list of major allergens requiring specific labelling, and the proteins responsible for the allergic reactions have been widely studied (IUIS Allergen Nomenclature Sub-Committee; <http://www.allergen.org/search.php?allergensource=Glycine+max>) and identified [84].

The second most important group of side-effects due to soybean derivatives is associated with the isoflavone fraction [85, 86]. *Glycine max* isoflavones are frequently contained in food supplements aimed at reducing menopause-related symptoms and diseases. Side-effects due to their pseudohormonal activity have been observed in both females and males; in particular, precocious thelarche [87], uterine fibroids [88], ureteral Müllerian carcinosarcoma associated with endometriosis [89], gynaecomastia [90], hypogonadism and erectile dysfunction [91], testicular cancer and reproductive disorders [85, 87].

Other case reports associated with *G. max*, with satisfactory demonstration of causality, are as follows: (i) gastrointestinal adverse effects, including enterocolitis, vomiting, abdominal pain and diarrhoea, gastric cancer and hepatitis [92–95]; (ii) thyroid dysfunction caused by the consumption of soybean 'milk' containing high levels of iodine [96]; (iii) bladder cancer [97]; and (iv) cases with different symptoms, such as hypophosphataemia in very-low-birthweight infants, fatal hypernatraemia, migraine, hypochloraemic alkalosis and transient methaemoglobinaemia [98–101].

Glycyrrhiza glabra L. (liquorice) The review selected 60 papers reporting adverse reactions (specific reactions and interactions) after the consumption of liquorice. Most of them were classified as certain/probable (44), and only three were deemed 'unlikely'. The root is the plant part used; sweets, chewing gum, drinks and PFS are the most usual forms consumed, but data on the preparation is not always included in papers. Most adverse events had the same symptomatic pattern, which is attributable to the biological activity of glycyrrhetic acid. Hypokalaemia and hypertension are the most frequent adverse events [102, 103], which can be worsened by the concomitant use of

conventional drugs, such as bendrofluazide [104], hydrochlorothiazide [105] or other diuretics [106]. Interaction with oral contraceptives, with a similar clinical pattern (hypokalaemia and water retention), has also been reported [107]. In some cases, the clinical evolution was particularly severe, with rhabdomyolysis [108], hypokalaemic paralysis [109], hypokalaemic encephalopathy [110] and cardiac arrest [111].

The adverse effects are mainly due to the active compound in liquorice, glycyrrhetic acid, which inhibits the 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 enzyme that is present in the principal cells of the cortical collecting duct. Given that cortisol and aldosterone are similar steroid hormones, the enzyme is needed to inactivate cortisol before it binds the aldosterone receptor inside principal cells. When 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 is inhibited, an aldosterone-like effect is promoted, which suppresses the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone axis and causes volume expansion, hypertension, hypokalaemia and metabolic alkalosis [112].

Harpagophytum procumbens (Burch.) DC. (*Devil's claw*) Case reports associated with this botanical mainly refer to the treatment of low back pain or arthrosis of the hip and knee. All studies were classified as probable/likely and were associated with derivatives of the tuber or the whole plant (extracts or PFS; see Table 6). Acting as a cyclooxygenase 2 inhibitor, adverse effects associated with *H. procumbens* preparations, which were predictable and dose dependent, included mainly gastrointestinal disorders [113]. Throbbing frontal headache, tinnitus, anorexia and loss of taste for food were described in one patient by Grahame and Robinson [114].

Hypericum perforatum L. (*St John's wort*) Among the 10 papers describing specific adverse effects associated with *H. perforatum*, four (40%) were classified as certain/probable and six as possible. The best-described cases reported convulsions and confusion [115], manic attack [116] and hypertension with [117] or without delirium [118]. Other authors described sexual dysfunction [119], serotonin syndrome-like symptoms with anxiety, hypertension, tachycardia and nausea [120] and, finally, a 5-fold increase of transaminases [121].

Several authors reported clinical cases of patients suffering from adverse effects due to an interaction between *H. perforatum* and drugs. The events were considered certain/probable in six of the nine cases and possible in the other three. It has been shown that there may be clinically significant drug–drug interactions between *H. perforatum* and substances metabolized through the CYP3A4 isozyme. Specifically, reductions in therapeutic efficacy at standard doses of important CYP3A4 substrates may be observed [122].

When *H. perforatum* was used in combination with drugs, reduced bioavailability was shown for the

following: verapamil [123], glicazide, nifedipine, omeprazole, voriconazole, anticoagulant drugs such as phenprocoumon and warfarin, statins such as atorvastatin and simvastatin [124], talinolol [125], digoxin [124, 126], nevirapine [127], contraceptive drugs, cyclosporine, tacrolimus and theophylline [124, 126] and loperamide together with *Valeriana officinalis* [128]. Other authors described an interaction with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors to produce serotonin syndrome [126]. In addition, long-term use of *H. perforatum* was considered responsible for adrenergic desensitization and decreased responsiveness to vasopressors, leading to cardiovascular collapse in a patient during anaesthesia [129]. Further interactions producing a decrease of bioavailability were suggested by Hu *et al.* [126] with amitriptyline, alprazolam, midazolam, fexofenadine, imatinib, irinotecan, methadone, indinavir and quazepam.

Panax ginseng C.A. Meyer (*ginseng*) The adverse effects collected in the scientific literature for ginseng can be classified as specific effects in 11 cases and as an interaction with conventional drugs in five.

Among the 11, one was classified as certain/probable and six as possible; the remaining four papers were not sufficiently documented. The part of the plant used is the root, and little information is normally included about the method of preparation. The adverse reactions described were as follows: stimulant effects, such as nervousness and tremor, a manic episode in a patient with recurrent depressive illness [130], metrorrhagia [131] and allergic reactions, including generalized urticarial rash and difficulty in breathing [132].

Clinical events associated with co-administration of *P. ginseng* with conventional drugs included interaction with the anticoagulant drug warfarin [133], the antidepressant drugs phenelzine [134] and clomipramine [135] inducing manic symptoms, and the tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib, responsible for liver damage via an interaction with CYP3A4 [136].

Valeriana officinalis L. (*valerian*) Cases were classified as specific adverse effects in six of 14 papers or interaction with nutrient and conventional drugs in the remaining eight. Causality of the adverse effects was rarely documented, likewise the kind of product used by the patient. The case classified as certain/probable reported hepatotoxicity [137], including a fulminant hepatic failure [138].

Among the cases of interaction with conventional drugs, nutrients or food/beverages, only four cases were considered sufficiently documented; they were cases of the following: (i) hypotension due to an interaction with *Matricaria chamomilla* and *Melissa officinalis* [139]; (ii) hand tremor, dizziness and muscular fatigue due to co-administration with *Passiflora incarnata* and lorazepam [140]; (iii) change of mental status due to consumption together with alcohol and *G. biloba* [141]; and

(iv) hepatitis due to interaction with *Scutellaria lateriflor*, containing alkylating agents, glycoside and volatile oils [34].

Vitex agnus castus L. (*vitex* or 'chaste tree') Nineteen papers described adverse effects of *V. agnus castus*. Some of them were observed during clinical studies performed during postmarketing surveillance. This is because in several European countries *V. agnus castus* is included among botanical ingredients used in traditional medicine (mainly Germany and Austria), requiring marketing authorization. All these products contain ethanolic extracts of the fruit of *V. agnus castus* and are used for premenstrual syndrome. Adverse effects reported vary widely; the most frequent and documented clinical events are as follows: (i) intermenstrual bleeding or disorders [142–144]; (ii) gastrointestinal disorders with diarrhoea, persistent gastroenteritis and nausea [142, 144, 145]; (iii) acneform facial inflammation [145]; (iv) headache [144]; (v) weight gain [142, 144, 145]; (vi) dizziness [142, 145]; and (vii) allergic reactions with pruritus, erythema and gastrointestinal symptoms [143]. Other, less frequent adverse effects were arteriospasm and hepatitis [146].

Causality between plant intake and adverse effects was considered certain/probable in most cases (13 of 18), because the adverse effects were registered during well-controlled clinical studies, and the plant was often the only 'treatment' used.

Vitis vinifera L. (*grape*) All papers collected for effects of this botanical (14) were classified as 'certain/probable'. Most of them can be considered as allergic reactions, including oral syndrome, urticaria, angioedema, hypotension and respiratory distress, anaphylaxis and exercise-induced anaphylaxis [147–149]. The most important allergens from grapevine are endochitinase A and B, a lipid transfer protein and a thaumatin-like protein [148]. No interaction with nutrients or conventional drugs has been described.

Conclusions

At the first step in searching databases for adverse effects of the 66 botanical ingredients considered (Table 1), some thousands of papers were considered. With the application of WHO assessment criteria (Table 2), the number of papers with sufficient evidence of a causal relationship was reduced to 492 for 39 plants (see Table 3). No paper describing significant adverse effects was found for the remaining 27 plants. Fourteen plants were the most frequently cited, and among them, two were responsible for 32% of the adverse effects reported.

1 *Glycine max* (soybean) was considered in 95 papers, where its role in allergic reactions and hormone-like activity was demonstrated. Both effects are well known;

in fact, soybean is included in the list of major food allergens, and the hormonal activity of phytoestrogens is the reason that it is used in menopause.

2 *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (liquorice) was usually responsible for hypokalaemia and hypertension due to its content of glycyrrhetic acid. The hypertensive potential of liquorice and its interaction with conventional drugs are also quite well known in clinical practice.

Generally speaking, we could draw the following conclusions.

- 1** Cases of adverse effects of botanicals are numerous, in term of citations by scientific literature or phytovigilance centres, but an assessment according to the WHO criteria indicates that the number of those with adequate evidence for a causal relationship is significantly less.
- 2** Given the long period of time considered and the number of plants included in the review, the occurrence of adverse effects of botanical ingredients is relatively low.
- 3** The number of severe clinical reactions is very limited, but some fatal cases have been described.
- 4** It is important to recognize that an underestimation is also possible, for the following reasons: (i) the consumer usually considers botanicals as safe products and does not report their use if they are admitted to hospital or emergency service; (ii) as they use PFS at their own discretion, consumers could avoid informing the family doctor, fearing a reprimand; (iii) data collected by poison centres are published only in a relatively few cases.

Despite these apparently reassuring findings, we still consider it is important to direct the attention of clinicians to the possibility of rare but severe adverse effects from botanical preparations or ingredients of food supplements or traditional medicines. For example, the severe hepatotoxicity of *C. sinensis* (green tea) was unknown before the product Exolise, containing a hydroalcoholic extract, was marketed and which was found to be responsible for a number of cases of acute hepatitis in France and Belgium [13, 17]. Although very rare (considering the large number of green tea consumers in the world), the severity of these reactions needs information and vigilance. Likewise, *C. aurantium*, which contains adrenergic amines, must be considered a potential risk both for athletes and for the general population, taking into consideration the possible abuse as a substitute for the products containing *Ephedra*, now banned.

Data presented in this review were assessed for quality, in order to be of maximal value for clinicians and the clinical management of affected patients.

Competing Interests

All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf

(available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

This research has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement no. 245199 and has been carried out within the PlantLIBRA project (<http://www.plantlibra.eu>). This paper does not necessarily reflect the Commission's views or future policy in these areas.

REFERENCES

- 1 NBJ's Supplement Business Report. An analysis of markets, trends, competition and strategy in the U.S. dietary supplement industry. Nutrition Business Journal 2012. Available at http://newhope360.com/site-files/newhope360.com/files/uploads/2013/04/TOC_SUMM120928.supp%20report%20FINAL%20standard.pdf (last accessed 3 July 2014).
- 2 Silano V, Coppens P, Larrañaga-Guetaria A, Minghetti P, Roth-Ehrang R. Regulations applicable to plant food supplements and relative products in the European Union. Food Funct 2011; 2: 710–9.
- 3 Shaw D, Leon C, Kolev S, Murray V. Traditional remedies and food supplements. A 5-year toxicological study (1991–1995). Drug Saf 1997; 17: 342–56.
- 4 Valli G, Giardina E-GV. Benefits, adverse effects and drug interactions of herbal therapies with cardiovascular effects. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002; 39: 1083–95.
- 5 Pitter MH, Schmidt K, Ernst E. Adverse events of herbal food supplements for body weight reduction: systematic review. Obes Rev 2005; 6: 93–111.
- 6 Haller CA, Kearney T, Bent S, Ko R, Benowitz NL, Olson K. Dietary supplement adverse effects: report of a one-year poison center surveillance project. J Med Toxicol 2008; 4: 84–92.
- 7 WHO Guidelines on Safety Monitoring of Herbal Medicines in Pharmacovigilance Systems. World Health Organization: Geneva, 2004.
- 8 Ratnatilaka A, Yakandawala D, Ratnayake J, Sugathadasa S. Poisoning with 'hondala' leaves due to misidentification as 'passion fruit' leaves. Ceylon Med J 2003; 48: 23.
- 9 Federico A, Tiso A, Loguercio C. A case of hepatotoxicity caused by green tea. Free Radic Biol Med 2007; 43: 474.
- 10 Gloro R, Hourmand-Ollivier I, Mosquet B, Mosquet L, Rousselot P, Salamé E, Piquet MA, Dao T. Fulminant hepatitis during self-medication with hydroalcoholic extract of green tea. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005; 17: 1135–7.
- 11 Pillukat MH, Bester C, Hensel A, Lichtenberg M, Petereit F, Beckebaum S, Müller KM, Schmidt HHJ. Concentrated green tea extract induces severe acute hepatitis in a 63-year-old woman – a case report with pharmaceutical analysis. J Ethnopharmacol 2014; 155: 165–70.
- 12 Vial T, Bernard G, Lewden B, Dumortier J, Descotes J. Acute hepatitis due to Exolise, a *Camellia sinensis*-derived drug. Gastroenterol Clin Biol 2003; 27: 1166–7.
- 13 Fong TL, Klontz KC, Canas-Coto A, Casper SJ, Durazo FA, Davern TJ 2nd, Hayashi P, Lee WM, Seeff LB. Hepatotoxicity due to hydroxycut: a case series. Am J Gastroenterol 2010; 105: 1561–6.
- 14 Rashid NN, Grant J. Hydroxicut hepatotoxicity. Med J Aust 2010; 192: 173–4.
- 15 Sharma T, Wong L, Tsai N, Wong RD. Hydroxycut® (herbal weight loss supplement) induced hepatotoxicity: a case report and review of literature. Hawaii Med J 2010; 69: 188–90.
- 16 Seddik M, Lucidarme D, Creusy C, Filoche B. Is Exolise hepatotoxic? Gastroenterol Clin Biol 2001; 25: 834–5.
- 17 Lee JI, Cho BK, Ock SM, Park HJ. Pigmented contact cheilitis: from green tea? Contact Dermat 2010; 62: 60–1.
- 18 Kanda T, Yokosuka O, Okada O, Suzuki Y, Saisho H. Severe hepatotoxicity associated with Chinese diet product 'Onshidou-Genbi-Kounou'. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2003; 18: 354–5.
- 19 Lau G, Lo DS, Yao YJ, Leong HT, Chan CL, Chu SS. A fatal case of hepatic failure possibly induced by nitrosofenfluramine: a case report. Med Sci Law 2004; 44: 252–63.
- 20 Galati G, Lin A, Sultan AM, O'Brien PJ. Cellular and in vivo hepatotoxicity caused by green tea phenolic acids and catechins. Free Radic Biol Med 2006; 40: 570–80.
- 21 Mazzanti G, Menniti-Ippolito F, Moro PA, Cassetti F, Raschetti R, Santuccio C, Mastrangelo S. Hepatotoxicity from green tea: a review of the literature and two unpublished cases. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2009; 65: 331–41.
- 22 Werba JP, Giroli M, Cavalca V, Nava MC, Tremoli E, Dal Bo L. The effect of green tea on simvastatin tolerability. Ann Intern Med 2008; 149: 286–7.
- 23 Taylor JR, Wilt VM. Probable antagonism of warfarin by green tea. Ann Pharmacother 1999; 33: 426–8.
- 24 Shim M, Saab S. Severe hepatotoxicity due to Hydroxycut: a case report. Dig Dis Sci 2009; 54: 406–8.
- 25 Radha Krishna Y, Mittal V, Grewal P, Fiel M, Schiano T. Acute liver failure caused by 'fat burners' and dietary supplements: a case report and literature review. Can J Gastroenterol 2011; 25: 157–60.
- 26 Thiolet C, Mennecier D, Bredin C, Moulin O, Rimlinger H, Nizou C, Vergeau B, Farret O. Acute cytolysis induced by Chinese tea. Gastroenterol Clin Biol 2002; 26: 939–40.
- 27 Lynch CR, Folkers ME, Hutson WR. Fulminant hepatic failure associated with the use of black cohosh: a case report. Liver Transpl 2006; 12: 989–92.

- 28 Vannacci A, Lapi F, Gallo E, Vietri M, Toti M, Menniti-Ippolito F, Raschetti R, Firenzuoli F, Mugelli A. A case of hepatitis associated with long-term use of *Cimicifuga racemosa*. *Altern Ther Health Med* 2009; 15: 62–3.
- 29 Zimmermann R, Witte A, Voll RE, Strobel J, Frieser M. Coagulation activation and fluid retention associated with the use of black cohosh: a case study. *Climacteric* 2010; 13: 187–91.
- 30 Minciullo PL, Saija A, Patafi M, Marotta G, Ferlazzo B, Gangemi S. Muscle damage induced by black cohosh (*Cimicifuga racemosa*). *Phytomedicine* 2006; 13: 115–8.
- 31 McKenzie SC, Rahman A. Bradycardia in a patient taking black cohosh. *Med J Aust* 2010; 193: 479–81.
- 32 Ingraffea A, Donohue K, Wilkel C, Falanga V. Cutaneous vasculitis in two patients taking an herbal supplement containing black cohosh. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2007; 56: S124–126.
- 33 Meyer S, Vogt T, Obermann EC, Landthaler M, Karrer S. Cutaneous pseudolymphoma induced by *Cimicifuga racemosa*. *Dermatology* 2007; 214: 94–6.
- 34 Whiting PW, Clouston A, Kerlin P. Black cohosh and other herbal remedies associated with acute hepatitis. *Med J Aust* 2002; 177: 440–3.
- 35 Gurley BJ, Swain A, Hubbard MA, Williams DK, Barone G, Hartsfield F, Tong Y, Carrier DJ, Cheboyina S, Battu SK. Clinical assessment of CYP2D6-mediated herb–drug interactions in humans: effects of milk thistle, black cohosh, goldenseal, kava kava, St. John’s wort, and *Echinacea*. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2008; 52: 755–63.
- 36 Siqueira AS, Santos CCO, Cristino MR, Silva DC. Pinheiro Maria das graças R, Pinheiro JJV. Intraoral contact mucositis induced by cinnamon-flavored chewing gum – A case report. *Quintessence Int* 2009; 40: 719–21.
- 37 Cohen DM, Bhattacharyya I. Cinnamon-induced oral erythema multiformelike sensitivity reaction. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2000; 131: 929–34.
- 38 Hoskyn J, Guin JD. Contact allergy to cinnamal in a patient with oral lichen planus. *Contact Dermat* 2005; 52: 160–1.
- 39 Mihail RC. Oral leukoplakia caused by cinnamon food allergy. *J Otolaryngol* 1992; 21: 366–7.
- 40 Tremblay S, Avon SL. Contact allergy to cinnamon: case report. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2008; 74: 445–61.
- 41 Westra WH, McMurray JS, Califano J, Flint PW, Corio RL. Squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue associated with cinnamon gum use: a case report. *Head Neck* 1998; 20: 430–3.
- 42 Siegel MA. Perioral dermatitis. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2006; 137: 1121–2.
- 43 Campbell TM, Neems R, Moore J. Case report: severe exacerbation of rosacea induced by cinnamon supplements. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2008; 7: 586–7.
- 44 Pilapil VR. Toxic manifestations of cinnamon oil ingestion in a child. *Clin Pediatr* 1989; 28: 276.
- 45 Vitalone A, Menniti-Ippolito F, Moro PA, Firenzuoli F, Raschetti R, Mazzanti G. Suspected adverse reactions associated with herbal products used for weight loss: a case series reported to the Italian National Institute of Health. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2011; 67: 215–24.
- 46 Sultan S, Spector J, Michell RM. Ischemic colitis associated with use of a bitter orange-containing dietary weight-loss supplement. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2006; 81: 1630–1.
- 47 Felix R, Martorell C, Martorell A, Pineda F, Cerda JC, De Las Marinas MD. Induced bronchospasm after handling of orange flavedo (zest). *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 131: 1423–5.
- 48 Chan TY, Tam HP, Lai CK, Chan AY. A multidisciplinary approach to the toxicologic problems associated with the use of herbal medicines. *Ther Drug Monit* 2005; 27: 53–7.
- 49 Rietjens IMCM, Martena MJ, Boersma MG, Spiegelberg W, Alink GM. Molecular mechanisms of toxicity of important food-borne phytotoxins. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 2005; 49: 131–58.
- 50 Haller CA, Benowitz NL, Jacob P 3rd. Hemodynamic effects of ephedra-free weight-loss supplements in humans. *Am J Med* 2005; 118: 998–1003.
- 51 Stephensen TA, RJr S. Ventricular fibrillation associated with use of synephrine containing dietary supplement. *Mil Med* 2009; 174: 1313–9.
- 52 Gange CA, Madias C, Felix-Getzik EM, Weintraub AR, Estes NA 3rd. Variant angina associated with bitter orange in a dietary supplement. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2006; 81: 545–8.
- 53 Thomas JE, Munir JA, McLntyre PZ, Ferguson MA. STEMI in a 24-year-old man after use of a synephrine-containing dietary supplement. *Tex Heart Inst J* 2009; 36: 586–90.
- 54 Holmes RO, Tavee J. Vasospasm and stroke attributable to ephedra-free Xenadrine: case report. *Mil Med* 2008; 173: 708–10.
- 55 Nasir JM, Durning SJ, Ferguson M, Barold HS, Haigney MC. Exercise-induced syncope associated with QT prolongation and ephedra-free Xenadrine. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2004; 79: 1059–62.
- 56 Ryan CK, Reamy B, Rochester JA. Ischemic colitis associated with herbal product use in a young woman. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 2002; 15: 309–12.
- 57 Retamero C, Rivera T, Murphy K. ‘Ephedra-Free’ Diet pill-induced psychosis. *Psychosomatics* 2011; 52: 579–82.
- 58 Mullins RJ, Hedde R. Adverse reactions associated with echinacea: the Australian experience. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2002; 88: 42–51.
- 59 Barrett B. Echinacea: a safety review. *HerbalGram* 2003; 57: 36–9.
- 60 Kocaman O, Hulagu S, Senturk O. Echinacea-induced severe acute hepatitis with features of cholestatic autoimmune hepatitis. *Eur J Intern Med* 2008; 19: 148–52.
- 61 Jacobsson I, Jönsson AK, Gerdén B, Hägg S. Spontaneously reported adverse reactions in association with

- complementary and alternative medicine substances in Sweden. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2009; 18: 1039–47.
- 62** Lee Soon S, Crawford RI. Recurrent erythema nodosum associated with Echinacea herbal therapy. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2001; 44: 298–9.
- 63** Taylor JA, Weber W, Standish L, Quinn H, Goesling J, McGann M, Calabrese C. Efficacy and safety of Echinacea in treating upper respiratory tract infections in children. *JAMA* 2003; 290: 2824–30.
- 64** Gorski JC, Huang S, Pinto A, Hamman MA, Hilligos JK, Zaheer MS, Desai M, Miller M, Hall SD. The effect of Echinacea (*Echinacea purpurea* root) on cytochrome P450 activity in vivo. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2004; 75: 89–100.
- 65** Gurley BJ, Gardner SF, Hubbard MA, Williams DK, Gentry WB, Carrier J, Khan IA, Edwards DJ, Shah A. In vivo assessment of botanical supplementation on human cytochrome P450 phenotypes: *Citrus aurantium*, *Echinacea purpurea*, milk thistle, and saw palmetto. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2004; 76: 428–40.
- 66** Yagmur E, Piatkowski A, Gröger A, Pallua N, Gressner AM, Kiefer P. Bleeding complication under *Ginkgo biloba* medication. *Am J Hematol* 2005; 79: 343–4.
- 67** MacVie OP, Harney BA. Vitreous haemorrhage associated with *Ginkgo biloba* use in a patient with age related macular disease. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2005; 89: 1378–9.
- 68** Pedrosa JL, Henriques Aquino CC, Escorcio Bezerra ML, Baiense RF, Suarez MM, Dutra LA, Braga-Neto P, Povoas Barsottini OG. *Ginkgo biloba* and cerebral bleeding: a case report and critical review. *Neurologist* 2011; 17: 89–90.
- 69** Miller LG, Freeman B. Possible subdural hematoma associated with *Ginkgo biloba*. *J Herb Pharmacother* 2002; 2: 57–63.
- 70** Xia S-h F-. Pharmacological action and mechanisms of ginkgolide B. *Chin Med J* 2007; 120: 922–8.
- 71** Pennisi RS. Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis induced by the herbal remedy *Ginkgo biloba*. *Med J Aust* 2006; 184: 583–4.
- 72** Yuste M, Sánchez-Estella J, Santos JC, Teresa-Alonso M, Teresa Bordel M, Gutiérrez JL, Zamora T. Síndrome de Stevens-Johnson/necrosis epidérmica tóxica tratado com imunoglobulinas intravenosas. *Actas Dermosifiliogr* 2005; 96: 589–92.
- 73** Cianfrocca C, Pelliccia F, Auruti A, Santini M. *Ginkgo biloba*-induced frequent ventricular arrhythmia. *Ital Heart J* 2002; 3: 689–91.
- 74** Miwa H, Iijima M, Tanaka S, Yoshikuni M. Generalized convulsion after consuming a large amount of *Ginkgo* nuts. *Epilepsia* 2001; 42: 280–1.
- 75** Bebbington A, Kulkarni R, Roberts P. *Ginkgo biloba*: persistent bleeding after total hip arthroplasty caused by herbal self-medication. *J Arthroplasty* 2005; 20: 125–6.
- 76** Castellote Varona FJ, Atienza Morales MP. *Ginkgo biloba* and cerebral hemorrhage. *An Med Interna* 2005; 22: 199.
- 77** Meisel C, John A, Roots I. Fatal intracerebral mass bleeding associated with *Ginkgo biloba* and ibuprofen. *Atherosclerosis* 2003; 167: 367.
- 78** Glintborg B, Andersen SE, Dalhoff K. Drug-drug interactions among recently hospitalised patients – frequent but mostly clinically insignificant. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2005; 61: 675–81.
- 79** Kupiec T, Raj V. Fatal seizure due to potential herb-drug interactions with *Ginkgo biloba*. *J Anal Toxicol* 2005; 29: 755–8.
- 80** Bell DSH, Ovalle F. Use of soy protein supplement and resultant need for increased dose of levothyroxine. *Endocr Pract* 2001; 7: 193–4.
- 81** Crawford BA, Cowell CT, Emder PJ, Learoyd DL, Chua EL, Sinn J, Jack MM. Iodine toxicity from soy milk and seaweed ingestion is associated with serious thyroid dysfunction. *Med J Aust* 2010; 193: 413–5.
- 82** Balk E, Chung M, Chew P, Ip S, Raman G, Kupelnick B, Tatsioni A, Sun Y, Wolk B, DeVine D, Lau J. Effects of soy on health outcomes. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ)* 2005; 126: 1–8.
- 83** Aaronov D, Tasher D, Levine A, Somekh E, Serour F, Dalal I. Natural history of food allergy in infants and children in Israel. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2008; 101: 637–40.
- 84** Rozenfeld P, Docena GH, Anon MC, Fossati CA. Detection and identification of a soy protein component that cross-reacts with caseins from cow's milk. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2002; 130: 49–58.
- 85** Kwack SJ, Kim KB, Kim HS, Yoon KS, Lee BM. Risk assessment of soybean-based phytoestrogens. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 2009; 72: 1254–61.
- 86** Ricketts ML, Moore DD, Banz WJ, Mezei O, Shay NF. Molecular mechanisms of action of the soy isoflavones includes activation of promiscuous nuclear receptors. A review. *J Nutr Biochem* 2005; 16: 321–30.
- 87** Dinsdale EC, Ward WE. Early exposure to soy isoflavones and effects on reproductive health: a review of human and animal studies. *Nutrients* 2010; 2: 1156–87.
- 88** Nagata C, Nakamura K, Oba S, Hayashi M, Takeda N, Yasuda K. Association of intakes of fat, dietary fibre, soya isoflavones and alcohol with uterine fibroids in Japanese women. *Br J Nutr* 2009; 101: 1427–31.
- 89** Noel JC, Anaf V, Fayt I, Wespes E. Uteral mullerian carcinosarcoma (mixed mullerian tumor) associated with endometriosis occurring in a patient with a concentrated soy isoflavones supplementation. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2006; 274: 389–92.
- 90** Martinez J, Lewi JE. An unusual case of gynecomastia associated with soy product consumption. *Endocr Pract* 2008; 14: 415–8.
- 91** Siepmann T, Roofeh J, Kiefer FW, Edelson DG. Hypogonadism and erectile dysfunction associated with soy product consumption. *Nutrition* 2011; 27: 859–62.
- 92** Chaabane M, Bidat E, Chevallier B. A new case of food protein-induced enterocolitis syndrome. *Arch Pediatr* 2010; 17: 502–6.

- 93 Nelson HD, Vesco KK, Haney E, Fu R, Nedrow A, Miller J, Nicolaidis C, Walker M, Humphrey L. Nonhormonal therapies for menopausal hot flashes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2006; 295: 2057–71.
- 94 Nan HM, Park JW, Song YJ, Yun HY, Park JS, Hyun T, Youn SJ, Kim YD, Kang JW, Kim H. Kimchi and soybean pastes are risk factors of gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; 11: 3175–81.
- 95 Wiwanitkit V. Excessive consumption of soybean milk and unexplained hepatitis. *J Postgrad Med* 2012; 58: 226–7.
- 96 O'Connell R, Parkin L, Manning P, Bell D, Herbison P, Holmes J. A cluster of thyrotoxicosis associated with consumption of a soy milk product. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2005; 29: 511–2.
- 97 Sun CL, Yuan JM, Arakawa K, Low SH, Lee HP, Yu MC. Dietary soy and increased risk of bladder cancer: the Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; 11: 1674–7.
- 98 Shenai JP, Jhaveri BM, Reynolds JW, Huston RK, Babson SG. Nutritional balance studies in very low-birth-weight infants: role of soy formula. *Pediatrics* 1981; 67: 631–7.
- 99 Furukawa S, Takaya A, Nakagawa T, Sakaguchi I, Nishi K. Fatal hyponatremia due to drinking a large quantity of shoyu (Japanese soy sauce). *J Forensic Leg Med* 2011; 18: 91–2.
- 100 Linshaw MA, Harrison HL, Gruskin AB, Prebis J, Harris J, Stein R, Jayaram MR, Preston D, DiLiberti J, Baluarte HJ, Elzouki A, Carroll N. Hypochloremic alkalosis in infants associated with soy protein formula. *J Pediatr* 1980; 96: 635–40.
- 101 Murray KF, Christie DL. Dietary protein intolerance in infants with transient methemoglobinemia and diarrhea. *J Pediatr* 1993; 122: 90–2.
- 102 Leitolf H, Dixit KCS, Higham CE, Brabant G. Licorice – or more? *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 2010; 118: 250–3.
- 103 Pant P, Nadimpalli L, Singh M, Cheng JC. A case of severe hypokalemic paralysis and hypertension. Licorice-induced hypokalemic paralysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010; 55: A35–37.
- 104 Sundaram MB, Swaminathan R. Total body potassium depletion and severe myopathy due to chronic liquorice ingestion. *Postgrad Med J* 1981; 57: 48–9.
- 105 Hukkanen J, Ukkola O, Savolainen MJ. Effects of low-dose liquorice alone or in combination with hydrochlorothiazide on the plasma potassium in healthy volunteers. *Blood Press* 2009; 18: 192–5.
- 106 Lunow M, Adam B, Seidel G. Pseudo-Conn's syndrome with hypokalemic paralysis due to diuretics and licorice abuse. *Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr* 2011; 79: 46–50.
- 107 Francini-Pesenti F, Puato M, Piccoli A, Brocadello F. Liquorice-induced hypokalaemia and water retention in the absence of hypertension. *Phytother Res* 2008; 22: 563–5.
- 108 Kinoshita H, Okabayashi M, Kaneko M, Yasuda M, Abe K, Machida A, Ohkubo T, Kamata T, Yakushiji F. Shakuyaku-kanzo-to induces pseudoaldosteronism characterized by hypokalemia, rhabdomyolysis, metabolic alkalosis with respiratory compensation, and increased urinary cortisol levels. *J Altern Complement Med* 2009; 15: 439–43.
- 109 Mukherjee T, Bhatt K, Sirsat R. A young female with quadriparesis. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2006; 54: 400–2.
- 110 Russo S, Mastropasqua M, Mosetti MA, Persegani C, Paggi A. Low doses of liquorice can induce hypertension encephalopathy. *Am J Nephrol* 2000; 20: 145–8.
- 111 Crean AM, Abdel-Rahman SE, Greenwood JP. A sweet tooth as the root cause of cardiac arrest. *Can J Cardiol* 2009; 25: e357–e358.
- 112 Armanini D, Lewicka S, Pratesi C, Scali M, Zennaro MC, Zovato S, Gottardo C, Simoncini M, Spigariol A, Zampollo V. Further studies on the mechanism of the mineralocorticoid action of licorice in humans. *J Endocrinol Invest* 1996; 19: 624–9.
- 113 Gagnier JJ, van Tulder MW, Berman B, Bombardier C. Herbal medicine for low back pain: a Cochrane review. *Spine* 2007; 32: 82–92.
- 114 Grahame R, Robinson BV. Devil's claw (*Harpagophytum procumbens*): pharmacological and clinical studies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1981; 40: 632.
- 115 Karalapillai DC, Bellomo R. Convulsions associated with an overdose of St John's wort. *Med J Aust* 2007; 186: 213–4.
- 116 Nierenberg AA, Burt T, Matthews J, Weiss AP. Mania associated with St. John's wort. *Biol Psychiatry* 1999; 46: 1707–8.
- 117 Patel S, Robinson R, Burk M. Hypertensive crisis associated with St. John's Wort. *Am J Med* 2002; 112: 507–8.
- 118 Zullino D, Borgeat F. Hypertension induced by St. John's Wort – a case report. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 2003; 36: 32.
- 119 Bhopal JS. St John's wort-induced sexual dysfunction. *Can J Psychiatry* 2001; 46: 456–7.
- 120 Brown TM. Acute St. John's wort toxicity. *Am J Emerg Med* 2000; 18: 231–2.
- 121 Domínguez Jiménez JL, Pleguezuelo Navarro M, Guiote Malpartida S, Fraga Rivas E, Montero Alvarez JL, Poyato González A. Hepatotoxicity associated with *Hypericum* (St. John's wort). *Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; 30: 54–5.
- 122 Roby CA, Anderson GD, Kantor E, Dryer DA, Burstei AH. Pharmacokinetics and drug disposition. St John's Wort: effect on CYP3A4 activity. *Clin Pharmacol Therap* 2000; 67: 451–7.
- 123 Tannergren C, Engman H, Knutson L, Hedeland M, Bondesson U, Lennernäs H. St John's wort decreases the bioavailability of R- and S-verapamil through induction of the first-pass metabolism. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2004; 75: 298–309.
- 124 Borrelli F, Izzo AA. Herb-drug interactions with St John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum*): an update on clinical observations. *AAPS J* 2009; 11: 710–27.

- 125** Schwarz UI, Hanso H, Oertel R, Miehlke S, Kuhlisch E, Glaeser H, Hitzl M, Dresser GK, Kim RB, Kirch W. Induction of intestinal P-glycoprotein by St John's wort reduces the oral bioavailability of talinolol. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2007; 81: 669–78.
- 126** Hu Z, Yang X, Ho PC, Chan SY, Heng PW, Chan E, Duan W, Koh HL, Zhou S. Herb-drug interactions: a literature review. *Drugs* 2005; 65: 1239–82.
- 127** De Maat MM, Hoetelmans RM, Math RA, van Gorp EC, Meenhorst PL, Mulder JW, Beijnen JH. Drug interaction between St John's wort and nevirapine. *AIDS* 2001; 15: 420–1.
- 128** Khawaja IS, Marotta RF, Lippmann S. Herbal medicines as a factor in delirium. *Psychiatr Serv* 1999; 50: 969–70.
- 129** Irefin S, Sprung J. A possible cause of cardiovascular collapse during anesthesia: long-term use of St. John's Wort. *J Clin Anesth* 2000; 12: 498–9.
- 130** Gonzalez-Seijo JC, Ramos YM, Lastra I. Manic episode and ginseng: report of a possible case. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1995; 15: 447–8.
- 131** Palop-Larrea V, Gonzalez-Perales JL, Catalan-Oliver C, Belenguer-Varea A, Martinez-Mir I. Metrorrhagia and ginseng. *Ann Pharmacother* 2000; 34: 1347–8.
- 132** Wiwanitkit V, Taungjaruwina W. A case report of suspected ginseng allergy. *Med Gen Med* 2004; 6: 9.
- 133** Janetzky K, Morreale A. Probably interaction between warfarin and ginseng. *Am J Health Sys Pharm* 1997; 54: 692–3.
- 134** Jones BD, Runikis AM. Interactions of Ginseng with phenelzine. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1987; 7: 201–2.
- 135** Vasquez I, Aguera-Ortiz LF. Herbal products and serious side effects: a case of ginseng-induced manic episode. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2002; 105: 76–7.
- 136** Bilgi N, Bell K, Ananthakrishnan AN, Atallah E. Imatinib and *Panax ginseng*: a potential interaction resulting in liver toxicity. *Ann Pharmacother* 2010; 44: 926–8.
- 137** Cohen DL, Del Toro Y. A case of valeriane-associated hepatotoxicity. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2008; 42: 961–2.
- 138** Bagheri H, Broué P, Lacroix I, Larrey D, Olives JP, Vaysse P, Ghisolfi J, Montastruc JL. Fulminant hepatic failure after herbal medicine ingestion in children. *Thérapie* 1998; 53: 77–83.
- 139** Cuzzolin L, Benoni G. Attitudes and knowledge toward natural products safety in the pharmacy setting: an Italian study. *Phytother Res* 2009; 23: 1018–23.
- 140** Carrasco MS, Vallejo JR, Pardo-de-Santayana M, Peral D, Martín MA, Altimiras J. Interactions of *Valeriana officinalis* L. and *Passiflora incarnata* L. in a patient treated with Lorazepam. *Phytother Res* 2009; 23: 1795–6.
- 141** Chen D, Klesmer J, Giovanniello A, Katz J. Mental status changes in an alcohol abuser taking valerian and *Ginkgo biloba*. *Am J Addict* 2002; 11: 75–7.
- 142** Dittmar FW, Bohnert KJ, Peeters M, Albrecht M, Lamertz M, Pramenstruelles SU. Syndrom, Behandlung mit einem Phytopharmakon. *TW Gynakol* 1992; 5: 60–8.
- 143** Prilepskaya VN, Ledina AV, Tagiyeva AV, Revazova FS. *Vitex agnus castus*: successful treatment of moderate to severe premenstrual syndrome. *Maturitas* 2006; 55: S55–63.
- 144** Propping D, Bohnert KJ, Peeters M, Albrecht M, Lamertz M. *Vitex agnus castus*. Behandlung gynakologischer Krankheitsbilder. *Therapeutikon* 1991; 5: 581–8.
- 145** Loch EG, Selle H, Boblitz N. Treatment of premenstrual syndrome with a phytopharmaceutical formulation containing *Vitex agnus castus*. *J Womens Health Gend Based Med* 2000; 9: 315–20.
- 146** Daniele C, Thompson Coon J, Pittler MH, Ernst E. *Vitex agnus castus*: a systematic review of adverse events. *Drug Saf* 2005; 28: 319–32.
- 147** Romano C, Ferrara A. Food allergy induced by grapes. *Allergy* 1998; 53: 93.
- 148** Kalogeromitros DC, Makris MP, Gregoriou SG, Mousatou VG, Lyris NG, Tarassi KE, Papasteriades CA. Grape anaphylaxis: a study of 11 adult onset cases. *Allergy Asthma Proc* 2005; 26: 53–8.
- 149** Senna G, Mistrello G, Roncarolo D, Crivellaro M, Bonadonna P, Schiappoli M, Passalacqua G. Exercise-induced anaphylaxis to grape. *Allergy* 2001; 56: 1235–6.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

Appendix S1

References